

Electric charge and magnetic flux on rotating black holes in a force-free magnetosphere

Hyun Kyu Lee^{a,c,1}, Chul H. Lee^{a,2} and Maurice H.P.M. van Putten^{b,c,3}

^a Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

^b MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

^c Department of Physics and Astronomy, State University of New York,
Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, USA

¹e-mail: hkleee@hepth.hanyang.ac.kr

²e-mail: chlee@hepth.hanyang.ac.kr

³e-mail: mvp@math.mit.edu

Abstract

The electric charge on rotating black holes is calculated to be $\sim BJ$ in the force-free configuration of Ghosh (2000), with a horizon flux of $\sim BM^2$. This charge is gravitationally weak for $B \sim 10^{15}\text{G}$, so that the Kerr metric applies. Being similar to the electric charge of a magnetar, both electric charge and magnetic flux should be, in sign and order of magnitude, continuous during stellar collapse into a black hole. Extraction of the rotational energy from newly formed black holes may proceed by interaction with the magnetic field.

Keywords: black hole physics – magnetic fields

1 Introduction

The rotational energy of a central supermassive black hole is potentially important in powering active galactic nuclei (AGN), in addition to the energy liberated in accretion (Lynden-Bell 1969, Lynden-Bell and Rees 1971). The accretion flow is probably magnetized, which facilitates the extraction of energy of the accretion flow (Lovelace 1976) and, possibly, that of the rotational energy of the black hole as well (Blandford and Znajek 1977). These ideas have recently been re-examined in the context of gamma-ray bursts, where a low mass black hole is threaded by a magnetic flux of similar magnitude (Meszaros and Rees 1997; Paczynski 1998; van Putten 1999, 2000; Lee, Wijers and Brown 2000).

In the Blandford-Znajek process, a rotating black hole interacts with the surrounding magnetosphere in the force-free limit. The electric field on the horizon has a non-vanishing normal component, which may be interpreted in terms of a surface density of electric charge in accord with the membrane paradigm developed by Thorne, Price and Macdonald (1986). The integral of the surface charge defines a net electric charge Q . All “three hairs” of mass, M , angular momentum, J , and Q , therefore, appear in black hole-magnetic field interactions.

It is well-known that rotating black holes in an aligned vacuum magnetic field assume a horizon charge $Q = 2BJ$ in their lowest energy state (Wald 1974, Dokuchaev 1987). Here, J denotes the angular momentum and B the asymptotic magnetic field-strength. Since the horizon charge corotates with

the horizon, it induces a magnetic flux (van Putten, 2000)

$$\phi_H = 4\pi Q M \Omega_H = 8\pi B M^2 \sin^2(\lambda/2) \quad (1)$$

where $\sin \lambda = a/M$ and Ω_H parametrizes the angular velocity of the black hole (van Putten 1999). Together with the charge-free flux, this recovers a net horizon flux (Wald 1974, Dokuchaev 1987, van Putten 2000)

$$\Phi_H = 4\pi B M^2 \cos \lambda + \phi_H = 4\pi B M^2 \quad (2)$$

- an electrostatic equilibrium in which the metric is the Kerr metric (Dokuchaev 1987, Kim, Lee and Lee 2000).

This observation suggests the possibility that a magnetic flux on a rapidly rotating black hole is similarly maintained by a finite horizon charge also when the magnetosphere is force-free. It may be noted that the electric horizon charge has been calculated for inflow in the approximation of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (Ruffini & Wilson, 1975). The force-free limit considered here is different, as a singular limit defined by neglecting Reynolds stresses. The presented calculation is of particular interest to the high-angular momentum black hole-disk or torus model of gamma-ray bursts with magnetic fields $B \sim 10^{15}\text{G}$ (see, e.g., van Putten & Ostriker (2000) for a recent discussion),

In §2, we calculate the electric charge on the black hole in a force-free magnetosphere given by Ghosh (2000). The result $Q \sim BJ$ is gravitationally weak, wherein the Kerr metric remains a valid approximation. This result is found to be similar to the charge on a magnetar in §3. The electric charge and flux of the core of a star in collapse, therefore, is continuous in order of magnitude. The astrophysical aspects are summarized in §4.

2 Horizon charge in a force-free magnetosphere

Coulomb's law of electrodynamics

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho_e, \quad (3)$$

suggests that the net electric charge Q can be obtained by the integral

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{E} \quad (4)$$

over a closed surface surrounding the system at hand. These equations hold not only in flat space-time but can also be shown to hold in curved space-time, provided that the dot product is interpreted covariantly with appropriate definitions of the electric field $\mathbf{E}$ and the charge density ρ_e . This has been made explicit by Thorne and Macdonald (1982) in the 3+1 formulation of electrodynamics in curved space-time. At each space-time point, a fiducial reference frame is chosen by splitting the 4-dimensional space-time into three space directions and one “universal time” direction. Thus, Coulomb’s law assumes similar expressions in curved and flat space-time.

By Eq.(4), we can determine the charge on a black hole, given the electromagnetic field surrounding the black hole. The force-free magnetosphere in the Kerr metric is potentially a realistic example, as in, e.g., Okamoto (1992). This applies when the magnetic energy density is not large enough to affect the background metric significantly: $B^2 M^2 \ll 1$.

The axially symmetric force-free magnetosphere surrounding a Kerr black hole is described by surfaces of continuous magnetic flux $\Psi(r, \theta)$ in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) . These surfaces are in rigid rotation (Thorne, Price and McDonald 1986) with angular velocity $\Omega_F = \Omega_F(\Psi)$. The poloidal parts of the magnetic and electric field are given by

$$\mathbf{B}^P = \frac{\nabla \Psi \times \mathbf{e}_{\hat{\phi}}}{2\pi\varpi}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{v}_F \times \mathbf{B}^P \quad (5)$$

where $\varpi = (\Sigma/\rho) \sin \theta$ and $\omega = 2Mr a/\Sigma^2$ is the angular velocity of the black hole. Also, $\alpha = \rho\sqrt{\Delta}/\Sigma$ denotes the lapse function and $\mathbf{v}_F = \alpha^{-1}(\Omega_F - \omega)\varpi\mathbf{e}_{\hat{\phi}}$ denotes the velocity of the rotating poloidal field line relative to the ZAMO. Here, we use the Boyer-Lindquist expressions $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr$, $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, $\Sigma^2 = (r^2 + a^2)^2 - a^2 \Delta \sin^2 \theta$.

The components of the electromagnetic field normal to the horizon are

$$E_n = -\frac{\Omega_F - \Omega_H}{2\pi\alpha} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\rho} \partial_r \Psi \quad (6)$$

$$B_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\varpi\rho} \partial_\theta \Psi \quad (7)$$

It may be noted that there are also non-vanishing θ -components of electric and magnetic field in a force-free magnetosphere. This permits $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ to be satisfied, even within the inner light surface of Znajek (1977) down to the

horizon, in the presence of electric currents. The the total electric charge on the stretched horizon is given by

$$Q = - \int_{horizon} (\Omega_F - \Omega_H) \frac{\Sigma^2}{4\pi\rho^2} \partial_r \Psi \sin \theta d\theta, \quad (8)$$

and the total magnetic flux through upper hemisphere by

$$\Phi_B = \int_0^{\pi/2} B_n 2\pi\varpi\rho d\theta = \Psi(\theta = \pi/2) - \Psi(\theta = 0). \quad (9)$$

$\Omega_F(\Psi)$, the electric current flowing into the black hole $I(\Psi)$, and Ψ are determined by the Maxwell equation for the force-free magnetosphere, which leads to the equation (Blandford and Znajek 1977, Macdonald and Thorne 1982, Beskin 1997) given by the Grad-Shavranov (GS) equation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\varpi^2} \left[1 - \frac{(\Omega_F - \omega)^2 \varpi^2}{\alpha^2} \right] \nabla \Psi \right\} + \frac{\Omega_F - \omega}{\alpha} \frac{d\Omega_F}{d\Psi} (\nabla \psi)^2 \\ + \frac{16\pi^2}{\alpha\varpi^2} I \frac{dI}{d\Psi} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Recently Beskin and Kuznetsova (2000) showed by solving the GS equation that for transonic flow onto a black hole the poloidal magnetic field in the supersonic domain near horizon remains actually the same as one can find from the force-free solution. It implies that in calculating the electric charge, Eq.(8), one can use the force-free poloidal magnetic field obtained from Eq.(10). This stream equation is quite complicated and does not appear to allow exact analytical solutions.

In the restricted case of a non-rotating black hole with $\Omega_F = 0$, Eq. (10) reduces to the following simple form;

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\varpi^2} \nabla \Psi \right\} = 0. \quad (11)$$

General properties of Eq. (11) are discussed by Ghosh (2000). Although we do not have the solution Ψ in the general rotating black hole case, a numerical study by Macdonald (1984) demonstrates that the effect of the black hole rotation to the poloidal magnetic field structure is small even at high specific angular momentum $a \leq 0.75M$. Based on these observations

we will assume in this work that the poloidal structure of the magnetosphere surrounding a black hole in the rotation case can be inferred from the non-rotating case. Hence, following Ghosh and Abramowicz (1997), we have for the upper hemisphere,

$$\Psi = \Psi_0[(r - 2M)(1 - \cos \theta) - r_0 \cos \theta - 2M(1 + \cos \theta) \ln(1 + \cos \theta)] \quad (12)$$

and for the lower hemisphere,

$$\Psi = \Psi_0[(r - 2M)(1 + \cos \theta) + r_0 \cos \theta - 2M(1 - \cos \theta) \ln(1 - \cos \theta)] \quad (13)$$

which are linear combinations of the specific solutions discussed by Macdonald (1984) and Ghosh (2000). This naturally represents the situation where an accretion disk exists on the equatorial plane with the toroidal component of the current density

$$j^\phi = \frac{\Psi_0(r + r_0)}{4\pi^2 r \Sigma} \delta(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}). \quad (14)$$

The calculation of the electric charge on the black hole is now given by Eqs. (8), (12), and assuming an optimal case where $\Omega_F \sim \Omega_H/2$, we have

$$Q = \frac{\Psi_0}{\pi} \frac{J}{r_H} \left[\frac{r_H}{a} \tan^{-1} \frac{a}{r_H} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r_H}{a} \right)^2 \ln \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{a}{r_H} \right)^2 \right\} \right]. \quad (15)$$

In using an approximate solution for obtaining an order of magnitude estimate, we consider $\Psi_0 \sim \frac{\Phi_B}{4M}$ and $\Phi_B \sim 8\pi M r_H B$ to find

$$Q \sim \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{J \Phi_B}{M r_H} = B J \quad (16)$$

This result is similar to the Wald charge in the ground state of the black hole in a vacuum magnetic field. For a rapidly rotating black hole with strong magnetic fields ($B = 10^{15} \text{G}$), in the context of GRBs, the charge can be estimated as

$$Q \sim 10^{15} C \left(\frac{B}{10^{15} \text{G}} \right) \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^2. \quad (17)$$

Compared to the extremal charge on the black hole, one can see that the above charge is much smaller

$$Q \ll Q_{\text{extremal}} = 4.2 \times 10^{20} C \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right) \quad (18)$$

and therefore the Kerr metric can be treated safely as a background for the force-free magnetosphere. One can see also that this electric charge can not provide a source of significant energy for a stellar mass black hole, when compared to the rotational energy.

3 Magnetic flux on black holes and magnetars

Pulsars are known to be rapidly rotating neutron stars with strong magnetic fields (Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983). Recently, several magnetars with even stronger magnetic fields (Kouveliotou et al. 1999) have been found. The vacuum surrounding these compact objects is unstable which evolves into a magnetosphere with charged particles. Goldreich-Julian (Goldreich & Julian 1969) suggested a model of a degenerate pulsar magnetosphere in the force-free limit. Assuming the poloidal field structure to be a magnetic dipole

$$\mathbf{B}^P = \frac{B_0 R^3}{r^3} (\cos \theta \mathbf{e}_{\hat{r}} + \sin \theta \mathbf{e}_{\hat{\theta}}) \quad (19)$$

the electric field using the degenerate condition becomes

$$\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{v}_F \times \mathbf{B}^P, \quad \mathbf{v}_F = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r} \quad (20)$$

where $\mathbf{v}_F$ is the velocity of the rigidly rotating field lines. The surface charge density and the electric charge on the rotating neutron star in a degenerate magnetosphere (Goldreich and Julian 1969, Ruderman and Sutherland 1975) becomes

$$Q_{NS} = \frac{B_0 \Omega R^3}{3} \quad (21)$$

Using the total magnetic flux (the stream function on the neutron star surface reads $\Psi(\theta) = \Phi_B(1 - \cos^2 \theta)$) through upper hemisphere, $\Phi_B^{NS} = \pi R^2 B_0$, and assuming the neutron star as a rigid sphere, $J_{NS} = (2/5)MR^2\Omega$, we have

$$Q_{NS} = \frac{5}{6\pi} \left(\frac{J\Phi_B}{MR} \right)_{NS}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, the same structure as in Eqn. (16) is obtained, both in sign and order of magnitude. Taking $M \sim 1.5M_{\odot}$, $R \sim 10^6 \text{cm}$, $\Omega \sim 10^4/\text{s}$ for a magnetar

with $B \sim 10^{14}\text{G}$, we conclude $Q_{NS} = 10^{15}\text{C}$ - the same sign and order of magnitude as that on the rotating black hole in a force-free magnetosphere obtained above.

These results give an indication of the charge during accretion-induced or prompt collapse into a black hole. During collapse, the specific angular momentum $a = J/M$ will change continuously. Since the time-scale of accretion of a charge BJ is of order M , the notion that both the neutron star and the final black hole state have charges of order $\sim BJ$ indicates that the charge Q should remain about this value. This would hold in particular when the accreting matter is magnetized at the level of the collapsing neutron star, in which case the initial charge on the neutron star and the final charge on the black hole are similar within a factor of unity. In this event, with $r_H/R_{NS} \sim 10^{-1}$ we get from Eq. (16) and Eq. (22)

$$\Phi_{BH} \sim \Phi_{NS}. \quad (23)$$

The continuity of magnetic flux provides the necessary horizon flux on the black hole for tapping the rotational energy, for example, via the Blandford-Znajek process. The relationship (16) allows the power in Poynting flux to be alternatively written as

$$P_{BZ} \sim 10^{50}\text{erg/s} \left(\frac{aB}{10^{-4}} \right)^2 = 10^{50}\text{erg/s} \left(\frac{Q/M}{10^{-4}} \right)^2. \quad (24)$$

The expression on the right brings about the relationship between P_{BZ} and the associated charge-to-mass ratio. The charge-to-mass ratio remains small for stellar mass black holes in astrophysical environments, which is consistent with the assumed Kerr geometry.

4 Summary

The electric charge on a rotating black hole immersed in a force-free magnetosphere is estimated to satisfy $Q \sim BJ$ in the poloidal structure of Ghosh and Abramowicz (1997). For canonical GRB/magnetar values $B \sim 10^{15}\text{G}$, the gravitational contribution of the electromagnetic field can be neglected. Also black holes and magnetars are found to carry similar electric charge in sign and magnitude.

We interpret these results to indicate that the central charge in the collapsar/hypernova scenario of GRBs remains essentially continuous and, with it, the associated magnetic flux. Provided that the magnetic field continues to be supported by surrounding magnetized matter, e.g., fall back matter stalled against an angular momentum barrier, extraction of rotational energy from the newly formed black hole may continue by interaction with the magnetic field. Wherever the magnetosphere is force-free, the interaction with the magnetic field is notably so in the form of a Poynting flux (Blandford & Znajek, 1977; Lee, Wijers & Brown, 2000). The horizon charge is important in preserving magnetic flux, especially when the black hole spins rapidly, but will not provide a source of significant energy for a stellar mass black hole.

Acknowledgement. We thank G.E. Brown for stimulating discussions and his hospitality at SUNY Stony Brook, where a part of this work was performed. We are also grateful to V. Beskin and P. Ghosh for valuable discussions and suggestions. HKL and CHL are supported in part by the interdisciplinary Research program of the KOSEF, Grant No. 1999-2-003-5, MVP acknowledges support from NASA Grant No. 5-7012 and an MIT C.E. Reed Award. HKL also acknowledges supports from Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics.

References

- Beskin, V. S. 1997, Usp. Fiz. Nauk, 167, 689, Eng. Trans. in Physics - Uspekhi, 40, 659
- Beskin, V. S. Kuznetsova, I. V. 2000, Nuovo Cimento, 115, 795
- Blandford, R.D. and Znajek, R.L. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433
- Dokuchaev, V.I. 1986, JETP, 65, 1079
- Ghosh P. and Abramowicz M.A. 1997, MNRAS, 292, 887
- Ghosh, P. 2000, MNRAS 315, 89
- Goldreich, P. and Julian, W. 1969, ApJ, 157, 869
- Kouveliotou, C. et al, ApJ, 510, L115
- Kim, H., Lee, C.-H., Lee, H.K. 2000, to appear in Phys. Rev. D, gr-qc/0011044
- Lee, H.K., Wijers, R.A.M.J., and Brown, G.E. 2000, Phys. Rep., 325, 83
- Lovelace, R.V.E. 1976, Nature, 262, 649
- Lynden-Bell, D. 1969, Nature, 223, 690; Lynden-Bell, D., and Rees, M.J. 1971, MNRAS, 152, 461
- Macdonald, D. and Thorne, K.S. 1982, MNRAS, 198, 345
- Macdonald, D. 1984, MNRAS, 211, 313
- Meszaros, P. and Rees, M.J. 1997, ApJ, 482, L29
- Okamoto, I. 1992, MNRAS, 294, 192
- Paczynsky, B. 1998, ApJ, 494, L45
- Ruderman M.A. and Sutherland P.G. 1975, ApJ, 196, 51
- Ruffini, R. and Wilson, J. R. 1975, Phys. Rev. D12, 2959

- Shapiro, S. and Teukolsky, S. 1983, “Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars” (John Wiley & Sons)
- Thorne, K. S., Macdonald, D. 1982, MNRAS, 198, 339 (TM)
- Thorne, K.S., Price, R.H., and Macdonald, D.A. 1986, Black Holes; “The Membrane Paradigm” (Yale University Press, New Haven and London)
- van Putten, M.H.P.M., Science, 284, 115 (1999).
- van Putten, M.H.P.M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 84(17), 3752 (2000).
- van Putten, M.H.P.M. and Ostriker, E. 2001, astro-ph/0010440
- Wald, R.M. 1974, Phys. Rev., D10, 1680
- Znajek, R.L. 1977, MNRAS 179, 457